

Sprint Retrospective Meeting Minutes

Attendees: Alex Castaneira, Anthony Thompson, Jinpan Zheng, Alex Narvaez

Start time: 3:00 P.M. Zoom January 29, Sunday

End time: 3:30 P.M. Zoom January 29, Sunday

What went wrong?

- Did we do a good job estimating our team's velocity?
 - Yes, we did a good job of estimating our team's velocity to 1 user story finished in this sprint as setup and familiarization where none aspects to be done before doing future user stories.
- Did we do a good job estimating the points (time required) for each user story?
 - Yes, we did a good job estimating the 3 hours a day for each user story as with team leader Anthony's experience we knew how difficult setting up cgal library was beforehand and the need to thoroughly review C++ syntax.
- Did each team member work as scheduled?
 - Yes, each team member was able to work as scheduled, meet on time, communicate with the team on time, and set up their personal device with appropriate tools on time.

What went right?

- What has gone right for our team is sending due documents on time as a team at the same time. As well as being able to schedule meetings in which everyone can attend in a timely manner, and being able to get started with installation of CGAL on all devices.

How to address the issues in the next sprint?

- How to improve the process?
 - We can improve the process by having a consistent schedule in which the meeting and submission is done at same time taking into account everyone's available time slots, as well as scheduling more frequent meetings with professors to get work done faster.
- How to improve the product?
 - We are planning to improve the product with addition of more geometric algorithms to be added to existing code base as well as the non-algorithms such as shapes.